

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,693	693 04/26/2001		David W.J. Stringer-Calvert	SRI/4285-2	3289
52197	7590	10/05/2006		EXAMINER	
PATTERSO SRI INTERN		HERIDAN, LLP	PATEL, NIKETA I		
595 SHREW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 100			2181		
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702				DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/844,693	STRINGER-CALVERT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Niketa I. Patel	2181					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	Jlv 2006.						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-23,25-40 and 42-51</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-23,25-40 and 42-51</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	F	RITZ FLEMING					
Attachmen	t(s)	TECHNO	OLOGY CENTER 2100					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/20/2006</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/844,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-23, 25-40 and 42-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bots et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,226,748 B1 (hereinafter referred to as "Bots") and further in view of Pandya et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,671,724 B1 (hereinafter referred to as "Pandya".)
- 3. **Referring to claims 1, 18, 35**, *Bots* teaches a group management system comprising: a plurality of interconnected nodes [see figure 2 elements 201-203, 211-213, 221-223, 331-332] communicatively coupled with each other as member nodes of a virtual private network ("VPN") [see figure 2 element 'VPNU' and column 2 lines 36-67 and column 3, lines 1-7] wherein all communications between said interconnected nodes are encrypted [see column 6, lines 37-41, a data packet between source and destination addresses that are both members of the same VPN group is encrypted]; and a plurality of master nodes [see figure 2 element 'VPNU'], each of the master nodes controlling membership in the VPN for an associated non-empty subset of the member nodes [see column 3 lines 8-22] and further facilitating said communications between said plurality of interconnected nodes [see column 6 lines 37-52.] *Bots* does not set forth the limitation of wherein in the event one of the master nodes fails, the associated subset of member nodes will be automatically reassigned to one or more other of the master nodes however,

Art Unit: 2181

Pandya teaches this limitation [see Pandya column 7, lines 11-57, 'one or more back up control points that will assume primary control'] in order to avoid catastrophic network failure.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the system of *Bots* to have a backup master node that will assume control in an event of primary master node's failure, in order to avoid catastrophic network failure. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement *Bots's* system with a backup master node in order to avoid catastrophic network failure.

- 4. **Referring to claims 2, 19, 36**, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein a membership change in at least one of the subsets can be performed without notifying all of the master nodes not associated with the changed subset [see *Bots* column 2 lines 36-67 and column 3, lines 1-7.]
- 5. **Referring to claims 3,20, 37**, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein at least two of the subsets do not share any member nodes in common [see *Bots* figure 2 elements 201-203, 211-213, 221-223, 331-332.]
- 6. Referring to claims 4, 21, 38, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches a group management system however fails to set forth the limitation of the system wherein at least two of the subsets share at least one member node in common. *Pandya* teaches the above stated limitations [see column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.] One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for the system of *Bots* to have at least two of subsets share at least one member node in common in order to provide an alternate routing path. It is for this reason that one or

Art Unit: 2181

ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement *Bots's* system with at least two of subsets share at least one member node in common in order to provide an alternate routing path.

- 7. **Referring to claims 5, 22, 39**, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein a communication involving said common member node can be transmitted along multiple paths [see *Pandya* column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.]
- 8. **Referring to claims 6, 23, 40**, *Bots* teaches the system further comprising an intrusion detection mechanism that receives said multiple-path communication as input [see *Pandya* column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.]
- 9. **Referring to claims 8, 25, 42**, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein each of the member nodes is associated with at least one of the master nodes as a back-up master [see column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.]
- 10. **Referring to claims 9, 26, 43**, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein the plurality of interconnected nodes are communicatively coupled as part of a peer-to-peer network [see *Pandya* column 6 lines 26-35; column 10 lines 12-15.]
- 11. **Referring to claims 10, 27, 44,** teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein the plurality of master nodes are part of an edge-based content delivery network [see *Pandya* column 6 lines 26-35.]
- 12. **Referring to claims 11, 28, 45**, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein the member nodes are allocated to the subsets at least partly

Art Unit: 2181

based upon one or more criteria of connectivity between each of the member nodes and the corresponding master nodes [see *Pandya* column 4 – lines 22-46; column 6 – lines 26-35.]

- 13. **Referring to claims 12, 29, 46**, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein the connectivity criteria are selected from a group of criteria comprising geographical distance, topological distance, bandwidth, latency, jitter, financial cost, and political boundaries [see *Pandya* column 8 lines 47-67; column 9 lines 1-13.]
- 14. **Referring to claims 13, 30, 47**, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein at least one of the master nodes further controls membership in another virtual overlay group different from the VPN [see *Pandya* column 7 lines 3-39; column 6 lines 39-59.]
- above, teaches the system of wherein an encryption key is used for communication [see *Pandya* column 9 lines 50-65; column 10 lines 52-65] however, does not set forth the limitation of the system of wherein a communication from a first one of the subsets of the member nodes uses a first encryption key, and a communication from a second one of the subsets uses a second encryption key that is different from the first encryption key. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention that it was old and well known in the computer networking art to get the advantage of secure data transmission by providing each unit/subset with it's own encryption key. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use two separate encryption keys for both of the subsets, to get this advantage.

Art Unit: 2181

- 16. Referring to claims 15, 32, 49, teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system of wherein an encryption key is used for communication [see *Pandya* column 9 lines 50-65; column 10 lines 52-65] however, does not set forth the limitation of the system wherein one or more of the master nodes are operable to translate between the encryption keys. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention that it was old and well known in the computer networking art to get the advantage of allowing devices connected to two different subsets to communicate with each other by providing a master node with an encryption key translator. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use encryption key translator to get this advantage.
- above, teaches the system of wherein an encryption key is used for communication [see *Pandya* column 9 lines 50-65; column 10 lines 52-65] however, does not set forth the limitation of the system wherein a communication from a first one of the subsets of the member nodes and a communication from a second one of the subsets of the member nodes both use the same encryption key. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention that it was old and well known in the computer networking art to get the advantage of saving resources by using same encryption key to communicate with a device that is being shared between two different subsets of the member nodes. It would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to use same encryption key to get this advantage.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,693 Page 7

Art Unit: 2181

18. **Referring to claims 17, 34, 51,** teachings of *Bots* as modified by the teachings of *Pandya* above, teaches the system wherein at least one of the master nodes are operable to remotely install software communication mechanisms for a new member node of the VPN without the necessity of installing augmented hardware for the new member node [see *Pandya* column 4 – lines 30-61; column 6 – lines 60-67; column 7 – lines 1-10.]

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments filed 07/20/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that *Bots* fails to disclose a virtual private network ("VPN") in which master nodes control admission and departure in the VPN for an associated non-empty subset of member nodes, as well as facilitate VPN communications between the member nodes and in which all communications between the nodes are encrypted, at pages 12-16 of the 'Remarks' section.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. *Bots* teaches a virtual private network ("VPN") [see figure 2 – element 'VPNU' and column 2 – lines 36-67 and column 3, lines 1-7] in which master nodes [see figure 2 – element 'VPNU'] control admission and departure in the VPN for an associated non-empty subset of member nodes [see column 3 – lines 8-22], as well as facilitate VPN communications between the member nodes and in which all communications between the nodes are encrypted [see column 6, lines 37-41, a data packet between source and destination addresses that are both members of the same VPN group is encrypted.]

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2181

20. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niketa I. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 4156. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fritz Fleming can be reached on (571) 272 4145. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,693 Page 9

Art Unit: 2181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NP 09/29/2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100